

**EPBC ACT
DRAFT
ENVIRONMENTAL
IMPACT
STATEMENT**

**TOONDAH
HARBOUR**

OCTOBER 2022

Prepared For



Walker Corporation
80 McLachlan Street
Fortitude Valley Q 4006

Prepared By



Saunders Havill Group
9 Thompson Street,
Bowen Hills Q 4006

Volume 1: Project Information

Table of Contents

1.	Project Details	1-1
1.1.	Location and Background	1-1
1.2.	Brief Description of the Project	1-5
1.3.	Project Approvals History	1-7
1.3.1	Minor Changes from Referral	1-7
1.3.2	Project Proponent	1-8
1.4.	Project Need	1-9
1.4.1	Dredging Requirements	1-9
1.4.2	The Need for Tourism Enabling Infrastructure	1-10
1.4.3	North Stradbroke Island Economic Transition Strategy	1-11
1.4.4	Project Related Employment	1-12
1.5.	Project Alternatives	1-12
1.5.1	Alternative Locations	1-12
1.5.2	Alternative Designs	1-15
1.5.3	Alternate Project: Capital Dredging, Disposal Offsite	1-17
1.5.4	The 'Do Nothing' Option	1-21
1.5.5	Advantages and Disadvantages of Alternate Options	1-21
1.6.	EIS Structure	1-23
1.6.1	Document Structure	1-23
1.6.2	Project Team and Independent Advisory Panel	1-23
2.	Detailed Description of the Site and Action	2-1
2.1.	Site Conditions	2-1
2.1.1	Climate and Meteorology	2-1
2.1.2	Tides and Currents	2-2
2.1.3	Topography and Bathymetry	2-2
2.1.4	Geology and Sediments	2-3
2.1.5	Surface Water and Groundwater	2-8
2.1.6	Terrestrial Environment	2-8
2.1.7	Marine Environment	2-8
2.2.	Site History and Existing Uses	2-12
2.2.1	Review of Aerial History	2-12
2.2.2	Existing Toondah Harbour Ferry Terminal and Uses	2-12
2.2.3	Existing Situation - Fison Channel and Turning Basin	2-16
2.3.	Toondah Harbour Project Overview	2-18
2.3.1	Project Footprint	2-18
2.3.2	Project Design Principles	2-18
2.3.3	Project Vision	2-21
2.3.4	Project Land Uses	2-21

2.3.5	Urban Design	2-31
2.3.6	Sustainability	2-38
2.3.7	Opportunities for Indigenous Stewardship and Reconciliation.	2-39
2.3.8	Future Management	2-39
2.3.9	Project Sequencing	2-40
2.4.	Dredging and Reclamation Works	2-45
2.4.1	Fison Channel and Turning Basin Dredging	2-45
2.4.2	Reclamation Landforming	2-47
2.5.	Civil Infrastructure and Services	2-56
2.5.1	Electrical, Gas and Telecommunications	2-56
2.5.2	Water Supply and Sewerage Infrastructure	2-56
2.5.3	Bulk Earthworks	2-58
2.6.	Marine Infrastructure	2-58
2.6.1	Marina and Private Vessel Berths	2-59
2.6.2	Ferry Terminal	2-60
2.6.3	Harbour Edge Treatments	2-62
2.6.4	Boat Ramp for Non-Motorised Vessels	2-64
2.6.5	Navigational Lighting	2-65
3.	Operations and Uses	3-1
3.1.	Marine Vessel Traffic	3-1
3.1.1	Existing Marine Vessel Use in Moreton Bay	3-1
3.1.2	Existing Marine Vessel Use in the Redland City Council LGA	3-2
3.1.3	Existing Marine Vessel Use at Toondah Harbour	3-3
3.1.4	Summary of Existing Marine Vessel Use	3-4
3.1.5	Predicted Additional Vessel Generation from the Toondah Harbour Project	3-4
3.2.	Maintenance Dredging	3-9
3.3.	Vehicle Traffic	3-10
3.3.1	Existing Traffic Volumes	3-10
3.3.2	Construction Traffic Generation	3-12
3.3.3	Development Traffic Generation	3-12
3.3.4	External Network Impacts	3-14
3.3.5	Fauna Crossing	3-14
3.4.	Lighting Design	3-16
4.	Assessment Framework	4-1
4.1.	Australian Government Assessment Process	4-1
4.1.1	EPBC Act Matters, Policies and Guidelines	4-1
4.2.	International Agreements and Conventions	4-3
4.3.	The Ramsar Convention	4-4
4.3.1	Management of Ramsar Sites in Australia	4-6
4.3.2	The Wise Use of Wetlands	4-8
4.4.	State Approval Framework	4-9
4.4.1	Economic Development Act 2012	4-9
4.4.2	Toondah Harbour PDA Development Scheme 2014	4-10

4.4.3	Planning Act 2016	4-11
4.4.4	Marine Parks Act 2004 and Marine Parks (Moreton Bay) Zoning Plan 2019	4-12
4.4.5	Environmental Protection Act 1994	4-13
4.4.6	Maritime Safety Queensland Act 2002	4-13
4.4.7	Land Act 1994	4-14
4.4.8	Aboriginal Cultural Heritage Act 2003 (Qld)	4-15
4.5.	State Government Approvals Application Requirements	4-15
4.5.1	Marine Parks Act Approvals Assessment and Approval Processes	4-15
4.5.2	ED Act Assessment and Approvals Processes	4-16
4.6.	Monitoring, Enforcement and Approval Compliance	4-17
4.7.	Local Government Assessment Not Required	4-18
5.	Stakeholder and Community Engagement	5-1
5.1.1	Community and Stakeholder Contact and Sentiment	5-2
5.1.2	Common Themes and Key Findings	5-4
5.1.3	Draft EIS Community and Stakeholder Engagement Activities	5-5

Figures

Figure 1-1: Project Location.	1-3
Figure 1-2: Toondah Harbour PDA and Key Features.	1-4
Figure 1-3: Toondah Harbour Project Master Plan.	1-6
Figure 1-4: SMBI Water Transport Alternate Route Strategy Mainland Sites.	1-14
Figure 1-5: The Toondah Harbour PDA Development Scheme Structure Plan.	1-16
Figure 1-6: Alternative Dredge Material Disposal Options Conceptualisation.	1-19
Figure 2-1: Redlands HRS 9 am (left) and 3 pm (right) Wind Roses (1953-2013).	2-1
Figure 2-2: Toondah Harbour Topography and Bathymetry.	2-4
Figure 2-3: Toondah Harbour Regional Geology.	2-5
Figure 2-4: Toondah Harbour Regional Soils.	2-6
Figure 2-5: Toondah Harbour Acid Sulfate Soils.	2-7
Figure 2-6: Toondah Harbour Catchment and Surface Drainage.	2-9
Figure 2-7: Toondah Harbour Existing Terrestrial Features.	2-10
Figure 2-8: Toondah Harbour Existing Marine Features.	2-11
Figure 2-9: Historical Aerial Photography of Toondah Harbour.	2-13
Figure 2-10: Toondah Harbour Land Tenure.	2-14
Figure 2-11: Project Footprint and Dredging and Reclamation Staging.	2-20
Figure 2-12: Toondah Harbour Project Precinct Plan.	2-22
Figure 2-13: Maximum Building Heights.	2-32
Figure 2-14: Cassim Island Roost Site Buffers.	2-33
Figure 2-15: Nandeebie Claypan Roost Site Buffers.	2-34
Figure 2-16: Community Focal Points.	2-35
Figure 2-17: Street and Movement Network.	2-36
Figure 2-18: Open Space Network.	2-37
Figure 2-19: Operational Management Plan.	2-40
Figure 2-20: Project Sequencing Years 1 to 4.	2-43
Figure 2-21: Project Sequencing Years 5 to 10.	2-44
Figure 2-22: Containment Bund Concept Drawing.	2-51
Figure 2-23: Reclamation Perimeter Bund Final Edge Treatments.	2-52
Figure 2-24: Schematic Diagram of Dredging and Reclamation Stage 1 Works.	2-54
Figure 2-25: Schematic Diagram of Dredging and Reclamation Stage 2 Works.	2-55
Figure 2-26: Proposed Water Supply Connections and External Infrastructure.	2-57
Figure 2-27: Proposed Sewage Connections and External Infrastructure.	2-57
Figure 2-28: Marina and Private Vessel Berths Layout.	2-59
Figure 2-29: Marina and Private Vessel Berths Cross-section.	2-60
Figure 2-30: New Harbour Layout.	2-61
Figure 2-31: Section through Ro-Ro Ramp.	2-61
Figure 2-32: Typical Harbour Rock Revetment.	2-62
Figure 2-33: Anchored Steel Sheet Pile Wall.	2-64
Figure 2-34: Boat Ramp.	2-64
Figure 3-1: Existing Boat Use in Moreton Bay, Redland LGA and Toondah Harbour.	3-5
Figure 3-2: Predicted Changes to Boat Traffic and Use at Toondah Harbour.	3-8
Figure 3-3: Cleveland Road Hierarchy.	3-11
Figure 3-4: Fauna Crossing Location and Concept Design.	3-15
Figure 4-1: Toondah Harbour Project Footprint within the Moreton Bay Ramsar Site and Marine Park.	4-5

Figure 5-1: Engagement Activities and Interactions with Community Members and Stakeholders.	5-2
Figure 5-2: Topics Discussed as Part of the Community and Stakeholder Engagement Interactions.	5-3
Figure 5-3: Community and Stakeholder Sentiment.	5-3
Figure 5-4: Community and Stakeholder Sentiment per Engagement Activity.	5-4

Tables

Table 1-1: Master Plan Minor Changes from Referral.	1-8
Table 1-2: Summary of Short, Medium, and Long-Term Advantages and Disadvantages of Project Alternatives.	1-22
Table 2-1: Climate Summary for Redlands (Redlands HRS 1953-2013).	2-1
Table 2-2: Tidal Planes at Toondah Harbour (2021).	2-2
Table 2-3: Details of Land Parcels within the Project Footprint.	2-15
Table 2-4: Indicative Project Sequencing.	2-41
Table 2-5: Approximate Dredging and Fill Volume Balance.	2-49
Table 3-1: Registered Boat Use by LGA (2021 estimates).	3-1
Table 3-2: Predicted Size of Active Fleet on an Average Day (2021 estimates).	3-2
Table 3-3: Vehicle and Passenger Ferry Trips from the Redland Mainland (Weekend Day).	3-3
Table 3-4: Existing Traffic Volumes.	3-10
Table 3-5: Estimated Trip Generation Rates.	3-13
Table 4-1: Australian Government Guidelines and Policies Relevant to the Project.	4-2
Table 4-2: International Conventions and Agreements Relevant to the Project.	4-3

Plates

Plate 2-1: Recreational Vessel Passing Ferry Outside of Safety Markers.	2-17
Plate 2-2: Turbidity Plume Generated by Vehicle Ferry.	2-17
Plate 2-3: Conceptual Images of the Mixed-use Node.	2-24
Plate 2-4: Conceptual Images of the Northern Residential Area and Foreshore Parklands.	2-25
Plate 2-5: Conceptual Images of the Southern Residential Area.	2-26
Plate 2-6: Conceptual Image of the Residential Precinct (Middle and Wharf Street Intersection).	2-27
Plate 2-7: Existing Image of the Residential Precinct (Shore Street East).	2-27
Plate 2-8: Conceptual Image of the Ferry Loading Area.	2-28
Plate 2-9: Conceptual Image of the Ferry Precinct.	2-29
Plate 2-10: Conceptual Images of the Marina Precinct.	2-30
Plate 2-11: Image of a BHD Operating within a Floating Silt Curtain, Loading a Transport Barge.	2-47
Plate 2-12: Examples of an excavator installing a steel sheet pile cut-off wall by vibration.	2-51
Plate 2-13: Reinforced Concrete L-shaped Wall (Shell Cove Boat Harbour, NSW).	2-63

Appendices

Appendix 1-A	Tender Documents Released by the State Government
Appendix 1-B	Final EIS Guidelines
Appendix 1-C	EIS Guideline Cross Reference Table
Appendix 1-D	Extracts from SMBIs Water Transport Alternate Route Strategy
Appendix 1-E	Alternate Options Assessment
Appendix 1-F	EIS Project Team
Appendix 1-G	IAP Final Recommendations Letter
Appendix 1-H	Construction and Project Sequencing Drawings
Appendix 1-I	Dredging and Reclamation Options Assessment and Design Report
Appendix 1-J	Geotechnical Report
Appendix 1-K	Concept Bulk Earthworks and Civil Infrastructure Report
Appendix 1-L	Marine Infrastructure Design Report
Appendix 1-M	RCC Boat Ramp Data
Appendix 1-N	Traffic Generation Report
Appendix 1-O	Summary of Relevant Legislation
Appendix 1-P	Community and Stakeholder Engagement Report

Volume 2: Existing Environment and Potential Impacts

Table of Contents

6.	The Impact Assessment Process	6-1
6.1.	Impact Assessment Structure	6-2
6.1.1	Identification of Activities that May Result in Impacts	6-2
6.1.2	Description of the Existing Values	6-3
6.1.3	Quantification of Potential Impacts	6-3
6.1.4	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	6-3
6.1.5	Residual Impact Risk Assessment	6-5
6.2.	Project Referencing	6-6
7.	Soils, Sediments, and Contaminated Land	7-1
7.1.	Introduction	7-1
7.1.1	Scope of Study	7-1
7.1.2	Activities that May Result in Impacts	7-2
7.2.	Assessment Methodology	7-2
7.2.1	Sediment Analysis	7-2
7.2.2	Terrestrial Contaminated Land	7-8
7.3.	Existing Values	7-12
7.3.1	Sediment Analysis Results	7-12
7.3.2	Terrestrial Contaminated Land	7-15
7.4.	Potential Impacts	7-21
7.4.1	Contaminants in Marine Sediments	7-21
7.4.2	Acid Sulfate Soils	7-21
7.4.3	Contaminated Land	7-22
7.4.4	Fuel and Chemical Spills	7-22
7.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	7-23
7.5.1	Detailed Site Investigations	7-25
7.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	7-27
8.	Coastal Processes and Dredge Plumes	8-1
8.1.	Introduction	8-1
8.1.1	Scope of Study	8-1
8.1.2	Activities that May Result in Impacts	8-2
8.2.	Assessment Methodology	8-2
8.2.1	Model Component Descriptions and Calibration	8-2
8.2.2	Scenarios Assessed	8-6
8.2.3	Assessment Processes	8-7

8.3.	Existing Values	8-10
8.3.1	Tidal Currents and Circulation	8-10
8.3.2	Waves	8-12
8.3.3	Shoreline Processes	8-14
8.4.	Potential Impacts	8-17
8.4.1	Tidal Hydrodynamics	8-17
8.4.2	Wave Climate	8-30
8.4.3	Shoreline Processes	8-35
8.4.4	Sediment Dynamics and Siltation	8-38
8.4.5	Extreme Event Simulation with Climate Change	8-41
8.4.6	Modelling of Dredging Activities	8-47
8.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	8-63
8.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	8-63
9.	Surface Water Quality	9-1
9.1.	Introduction	9-1
9.1.1	Scope of Study	9-1
9.1.2	Water Quality Objectives	9-1
9.1.3	Activities that May Result in Impacts	9-4
9.2.	Assessment Methodology	9-4
9.2.1	Desktop Methods	9-4
9.2.2	Field Methods	9-8
9.2.3	Surface Water Quality Modelling	9-10
9.3.	Existing Values	9-11
9.3.1	General Description of Moreton Bay Water Quality	9-11
9.3.2	Assessment of EHMP Data	9-12
9.3.3	Assessment of Data from the Dredge Campaign in 2019	9-17
9.3.4	Assessment of Turbidity Logger Data	9-19
9.3.5	Key Assessment Outcomes	9-22
9.4.	Potential Impacts	9-23
9.4.1	Changes to Turbidity Associated with Dredging and Reclamation	9-23
9.4.2	Changes to Flushing Rates Associated with Reclamation	9-26
9.4.3	Changes in Water Quality Due to Stormwater	9-29
9.4.4	Release of Contaminants from the Disturbance of Sediment	9-32
9.4.5	Release of Contaminants from the Disturbance of Soil and Groundwater	9-33
9.4.6	Tailwater Release into Moreton Bay	9-33
9.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	9-34
9.5.1	Draft Water Quality Monitoring Plan	9-36
9.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	9-42
10.	Groundwater	10-1
10.1.	Introduction	10-1
10.1.1	Scope of Study	10-1
10.1.2	Activities that May Result in Impacts	10-1
10.2.	Assessment Methodology	10-2

10.2.1	Desktop Methods	10-2
10.2.2	Field Methods	10-2
10.2.3	Excavation Dewatering Investigation	10-3
10.3.	Existing Values – Conceptual Groundwater Model	10-5
10.3.1	Geology	10-5
10.3.2	Hydrostratigraphic Units	10-5
10.3.3	Groundwater Levels and Flow Directions	10-6
10.3.4	Groundwater Quality	10-13
10.3.5	Groundwater Surface Water Seawater Interaction	10-17
10.3.6	Groundwater Users	10-18
10.3.7	Summary of Conceptual Model	10-22
10.4.	Potential Impacts	10-24
10.4.1	Dredging	10-24
10.4.2	Reclamation	10-25
10.4.3	Perimeter Containment Wall and Dewatering for Excavation	10-25
10.4.4	Clearing of Mangroves	10-30
10.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	10-31
10.5.1	Draft Groundwater Monitoring Plan	10-32
10.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	10-34
11.	Air Quality	11-1
11.1.	Introduction	11-1
11.1.1	Scope of Study	11-1
11.1.2	Air Quality Objectives	11-1
11.1.3	Activities that May Result in Impacts	11-3
11.2.	Assessment Methodology	11-3
11.2.1	Air Quality Emissions	11-3
11.2.2	Baseline H ₂ S Monitoring	11-4
11.2.3	Modelling Methodology	11-5
11.2.4	Emissions Estimation	11-8
11.3.	Existing Values	11-9
11.3.1	Sensitive Receptors	11-9
11.3.2	Modelled Meteorological Data	11-9
11.3.3	Background Air Quality Data	11-11
11.3.4	Hydrogen Sulphide	11-12
11.4.	Potential Impacts	11-13
11.4.1	Dredging and Reclamation – Northern Reclamation	11-13
11.4.2	Dredging and Reclamation – Southern Reclamation	11-15
11.4.3	Potential Odour Impacts	11-16
11.4.4	Civil Construction	11-16
11.4.5	Ongoing Use and Operations	11-17
11.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	11-17
11.5.1	Draft Air Quality Monitoring Plan	11-19
11.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	11-21
12.	Terrestrial and Underwater Noise and Vibration	12-1

12.1.	Introduction	12-1
12.1.1	Scope of Study	12-1
12.1.2	Acoustic Quality Objectives	12-1
12.1.3	Activities that May Result in Impacts	12-4
12.2.	Assessment Methodology	12-5
12.2.1	Background Noise Level Measurements	12-5
12.2.2	Noise Modelling	12-7
12.2.3	Construction Vibration	12-9
12.3.	Existing Values	12-10
12.3.1	Background Terrestrial Noise	12-10
12.3.2	Background Underwater Noise	12-13
12.4.	Potential Impacts	12-15
12.4.1	Noise Impacts on Sensitive Receptors	12-15
12.4.2	Predicted Underwater Noise Levels	12-23
12.4.3	Operational Noise Levels	12-26
12.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	12-26
12.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	12-29

13. Lighting Assessment and Strategy 13-1

13.1.	Introduction	13-1
13.1.1	Lighting Criteria and Objectives	13-1
13.1.2	Activities that May Result in Impacts	13-2
13.2.	Assessment Methodology	13-2
13.2.1	Existing Light levels	13-2
13.2.2	Conceptual Lighting Model	13-2
13.3.	Construction and Operational Phase Lighting Design	13-5
13.3.1	Conceptual Lighting Discussion and Design Intent	13-6
13.3.2	Luminaires Selections	13-6
13.4.	Existing Values	13-8
13.5.	Potential Impacts – Conceptual Lighting Model	13-11
13.5.1	Illumination Levels	13-11
13.5.2	Rendered Views	13-11
13.6.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	13-21
13.7.	Residual Risk of Impact	13-22

14. Waste Management 14-1

14.1.	Introduction	14-1
14.1.1	Scope of Study	14-1
14.1.2	Activities that May Generate Waste	14-1
14.2.	Assessment Framework	14-2
14.2.1	Project Waste Management Principles	14-2
14.3.	Waste Generation	14-4
14.3.1	Construction	14-5
14.3.2	Operations	14-6
14.4.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	14-8

14.5.	Residual Risk of Impact	14-11
15.	Terrestrial Ecology	15-1
15.1.	Introduction	15-1
15.1.1	Scope of Study	15-1
15.1.2	Relevant Legislation, Policy, and Planning Instruments	15-2
15.1.3	Activities that May Result in Impacts	15-2
15.2.	Assessment Methodology	15-3
15.2.1	Desktop Methods	15-3
15.2.2	Field Methods	15-4
15.3.	Existing Values	15-8
15.3.1	Threatened Flora and Ecological Communities	15-8
15.3.2	Threatened Fauna Species Likelihood of Occurrence	15-13
15.3.3	Koala (<i>Phascolarctos cinereus</i>)	15-17
15.3.4	Grey-headed Flying-fox (<i>Pteropus poliocephalus</i>)	15-30
15.3.5	Water Mouse (<i>Xeromys myoides</i>)	15-35
15.3.6	White-throated Needletail (<i>Hirundapus caudacutus</i>)	15-37
15.3.7	Terrestrial Migratory Bird Species	15-38
15.4.	Potential Impacts	15-42
15.4.1	Project Staging	15-42
15.4.2	Potential Impacts on Koalas	15-43
15.4.3	Potential Impacts on Grey-headed Flying-fox	15-54
15.4.4	Potential Impacts on Water Mouse	15-56
15.4.5	Potential Impacts on White-throated Needletail	15-56
15.4.6	Potential Impacts on Terrestrial Migratory Bird Species	15-56
15.4.7	Invasive Species	15-57
15.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	15-58
15.5.1	Draft Terrestrial Fauna Monitoring Program	15-62
15.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	15-63
16.	Marine Ecology	16-1
16.1.	Introduction	16-1
16.1.1	Scope of the Study	16-1
16.1.2	Relevant Legislation, Policy, and Planning Instruments	16-2
16.1.3	Activities that May Result in Impacts	16-3
16.2.	Assessment Methodology	16-4
16.2.1	Desktop Methods	16-4
16.2.2	Field Methods	16-5
16.3.	Existing Values – Marine Habitat Types	16-11
16.3.1	Mudflats and Sandbanks	16-15
16.3.2	Mangroves	16-17
16.3.3	Saltmarsh	16-19
16.3.4	Seagrass Meadows	16-20
16.3.5	Coral Communities	16-27
16.4.	Existing Values – Marine Fauna	16-29

16.4.1	Southern Right Whale	16-31
16.4.2	Marine Turtles	16-31
16.4.3	White's Seahorse	16-37
16.4.4	Australian Humpback Dolphin	16-37
16.4.5	Dugong	16-37
16.5.	Potential Impacts	16-40
16.5.1	Dredging, Reclamation and Marine Works	16-40
16.5.2	Civil Works	16-52
16.5.3	Ongoing Use and Operations	16-53
16.6.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	16-58
16.6.1	Management Measures	16-58
16.6.2	Loss of Habitat	16-61
16.6.3	Draft Marine Ecology Monitoring Plan	16-62
16.7.	Residual Risk of Impact	16-65
17.	Migratory Shorebirds	17-1
17.1.	Introduction	17-1
17.1.1	Scope of Study	17-1
17.1.2	Relevant Legislation, Policy, and Planning Instruments	17-2
17.1.3	Activities that May Result in Impacts	17-5
17.2.	Assessment Methodology	17-5
17.2.1	Desktop Methods	17-5
17.2.2	Field Methods	17-6
17.2.3	Analysis of Methodological Approach	17-11
17.2.4	Assessment of Migratory Shorebird Habitat Importance	17-11
17.3.	Existing Values	17-12
17.3.1	Migratory Shorebird Ecology	17-12
17.3.2	Database Search Results	17-14
17.3.3	Shorebirds Roosting at High Tide Sites at Toondah Harbour	17-19
17.3.4	Shorebirds Foraging at Low Tide at Toondah Harbour	17-26
17.3.5	Migratory Shorebird Habitat Importance within and adjacent to the Project footprint	17-29
17.3.6	Threats and Trends in Shorebird Habitat Condition	17-31
17.3.7	Key Assessment Outcomes	17-32
17.4.	Potential Impacts	17-33
17.4.1	Dredging, Reclamation and Civil Works	17-35
17.4.2	Ongoing Use and Operations	17-36
17.4.3	Review of Key Impacts on Shorebirds	17-36
17.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	17-45
17.5.1	National Guidelines	17-45
17.5.2	Management through Design	17-46
17.5.3	Project Specific Management Measures	17-47
17.5.4	Summary of Management Measures	17-49
17.5.5	Draft Shorebird Monitoring Plan	17-50
17.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	17-51
18.	Commercial and Recreational Fisheries	18-1

18.1.	Introduction	18-1
18.1.1	Scope of Study	18-1
18.1.2	Activities that May Result in Impacts	18-1
18.2.	Assessment Methodology	18-2
18.2.1	Desktop Methods	18-2
18.2.2	Consultation	18-2
18.2.3	Logbook Analysis	18-2
18.3.	Existing Values	18-3
18.3.1	Current Fishing Activities	18-3
18.3.2	Commercial Fisheries	18-4
18.3.3	Recreational Fishing	18-7
18.3.4	Indigenous Fisheries	18-7
18.3.5	Biology of Key Fish Species	18-8
18.3.6	Existing Marine Habitats in Relation to Key Fish Species	18-11
18.3.7	Commercial Fishing Data Analysis	18-14
18.3.8	Recreational Fisheries	18-15
18.3.9	Key Assessment Outcomes	18-15
18.4.	Potential Impacts	18-16
18.4.1	Construction Impacts	18-16
18.4.2	Fishing Access	18-16
18.4.3	Habitat Loss	18-16
18.5.	Adaptive Management and Monitoring Measures	18-17
18.5.1	Management Measures	18-17
18.5.2	Recreational Fisheries	18-18
18.5.3	Fisheries Habitat Construction	18-19
18.5.4	Draft Fisheries Monitoring Plan	18-20
18.6.	Residual Risk of Impact	18-20
18.6.1	Recreational Fishing Access	18-20
18.6.2	Commercial Fishing Access	18-20
18.6.3	Fisheries Habitat	18-20
19.	Cultural Heritage	19-1
19.1.	Aboriginal Cultural Heritage	19-1
19.1.1	Introduction	19-1
19.1.2	General Methodology	19-1
19.1.3	Desktop Review of the Archaeology of Moreton Bay	19-2
19.1.4	Site Inspection	19-3
19.1.5	Summary of Survey Results	19-3
19.1.6	Archaeological Testing Results	19-5
19.1.7	Study Area Cultural Heritage Archaeological Significance	19-7
19.1.8	Potential Impacts and Management Measures	19-8
19.2.	Non-Indigenous Cultural Heritage	19-8
19.2.1	Introduction	19-8
19.2.2	Assessment Methodology	19-8
19.2.3	Existing Values	19-9
19.2.4	History of Toondah Harbour	19-12

19.2.5	Australian Historical Themes Assessment	19-13
19.2.6	Survey Results	19-15
19.2.7	Potential Impacts	19-17
19.2.8	Consultation with the National Trust of Australia Queensland Redlands Branch	19-19
19.2.9	Management Measures	19-20

20. Social Environment 20-1

20.1.	Introduction	20-1
20.1.1	Scope of Study	20-1
20.1.2	Local Strategies and Planning	20-1
20.2.	Assessment Methodology	20-3
20.2.1	Assessment Approach	20-4
20.3.	Existing Values	20-5
20.3.1	Existing Community Profile	20-5
20.3.2	Future Community Profile	20-6
20.3.3	Surrounding Social Infrastructure	20-6
20.4.	Community and Stakeholder Engagement	20-9
20.4.1	Stakeholder Engagement	20-9
20.4.2	SIA Specific Consultation	20-10
20.5.	Potential Impacts	20-12
20.5.1	Impacted Communities	20-12
20.5.2	Impact Scoping	20-12
20.6.	Assessment of Significant Impacts	20-14

21. Economic Environment 21-1

21.1.	Introduction	21-1
21.1.1	Scope of Study	21-1
21.2.	Cost-Benefit Analysis	21-1
21.3.	Regional Economic Impact Analysis	21-6
21.3.1	Construction Impacts	21-6
21.3.2	Operational Impacts	21-7

22. Sustainability 22-1

22.1.	Introduction	22-1
22.1.1	Scope of Study	22-2
22.1.2	PDA Development Scheme	22-2
22.2.	Sustainability Responses Provided in the Toondah Harbour EIS	22-3
22.3.	Assessment Methodology	22-4
22.3.1	Urban Development Institute of Australia - EnviroDevelopment	22-5
22.3.2	Green Building Council Australia - Green Star Rating	22-5
22.3.3	NABERS	22-5
22.3.4	Regulatory Approvals	22-5
22.4.	Baseline Sustainability	22-5
22.5.	Sustainability of the PDA	22-6
22.5.1	Sustainability in Design	22-8

Figures

Figure 6-1: Adaptive Management Process (source: CSIRO).....	6-4
Figure 6-2: Toondah Harbour Project Reference Areas.....	6-7
Figure 7-1: Sediment Sampling Locations.....	7-4
Figure 7-2: Contaminated Land Assessment Locations.....	7-11
Figure 8-1: Coastal Processes Model Boundary and Mesh.....	8-3
Figure 8-2: Toondah Harbour Model Mesh and Bathymetry.....	8-4
Figure 8-3: SWAN Model Grids.....	8-5
Figure 8-4: Modelled Development Phases.....	8-8
Figure 8-5: Hydrodynamic and Wave Reporting Locations.....	8-9
Figure 8-6: Toondah Harbour Locality Peak Flood Tide Current Patterns.....	8-11
Figure 8-7: Toondah Harbour Locality Peak Ebb Tide Current Patterns.....	8-11
Figure 8-8: Wave Roses Showing Recorded Significant Wave Height (Left) and Peak Wave Period (Right) at ADCP North (06/03/2020 – 04/06/2020).....	8-12
Figure 8-9: Modelled Wave Height in Toondah Harbour Locality. Example Northerly Wind Conditions.....	8-13
Figure 8-10: Modelled Wave Height in Toondah Harbour Locality. Example South-Easterly Wind Conditions.....	8-13
Figure 8-11: 2017 Aerial Photo of the Shoreline to the North of the Project Site (Google Earth).....	8-15
Figure 8-12: 2017 Aerial Photo of the Shoreline to the South of the Project Site (Google Earth).....	8-16
Figure 8-13: Water Level at the Peak Flood and Peak Ebb Instantaneous Velocity Impact Analysis Times.....	8-17
Figure 8-14: Stage 1 Complete Peak Flooding Tide Instantaneous Velocity impacts.....	8-19
Figure 8-15: Stage 1 Complete Peak Ebbing Tide Instantaneous Velocity Impacts.....	8-20
Figure 8-16: Stage 1 Complete Water Level (top), Depth Averaged Velocity (middle) and Current Direction (bottom) for Point 4 (North of Cassim Island).....	8-21
Figure 8-17: Stage 1 Complete Water Level (top), Depth Averaged Velocity (middle) and Current Direction (bottom) for Point 6 (Mangrove Islets East of the Development).....	8-22
Figure 8-18: Stage 1 Complete Water Level (top), Depth Averaged Velocity (middle) and Current Direction (bottom) for Point 8 (South of Mangrove Islets Located East of the Development).....	8-23
Figure 8-19: Stage 2 Complete Peak Flooding Tide Instantaneous Velocity Impacts.....	8-25
Figure 8-20: Stage 2 Complete Peak Ebbing Tide Instantaneous Velocity Impacts.....	8-26
Figure 8-21: Stage 2 Complete Water Level (top), Depth Averaged Velocity (middle) and Current Direction (bottom) for Point 4 (North of Cassim Island).....	8-27
Figure 8-22: Stage 2 Complete Water Level (top), Depth Averaged Velocity (middle) and Current Direction (bottom) for Point 6 (Mangrove Islets East of the Development).....	8-28
Figure 8-23: Stage 2 Complete Water Level (top), Depth Averaged Velocity (middle) and Current Direction (bottom) for Point 8 (South of Mangrove Islets Located East of the Development).....	8-29
Figure 8-24: 50 th Percentile Significant Wave Height. Existing Condition (top), Developed Scenario (middle) and Impact (bottom) Stage 1 Complete.....	8-31
Figure 8-25: 95 th Percentile Significant Wave Height. Existing Condition (top), Developed Scenario (middle) and Impact (bottom) Stage 1 Complete.....	8-32
Figure 8-26: 50 th Percentile Significant Wave Height. Existing Condition (top), Developed Scenario (middle) and Impact (bottom) Stage 2 Complete.....	8-33
Figure 8-27: 95 th Percentile Significant Wave Height. Existing Condition (top), Developed Scenario (middle) and Impact (bottom) Stage 2 Complete.....	8-34

Figure 8-28: Shoreline in the Toondah Harbour Area, 1955 (Left) and 2017 (Right).....	8-36
Figure 8-29: Shoreline at the Manly Boat Harbour Area, 1945 (Top) and 2017 (Bottom).	8-37
Figure 8-30: Stage 1 Phase 1 Annualised Scour /Sedimentation Rate.	8-39
Figure 8-31: Stage 2 Complete Annualised Scour /Sedimentation Rate.	8-40
Figure 8-32: Wind, Water Level and Significant Wave Height Conditions Stage 2 Complete 0.4 m Sea Level Rise.	8-42
Figure 8-33: Wind, Water Level and Significant Wave Height Conditions Stage 2 Complete 1.5 m Sea Level Rise.	8-42
Figure 8-34: Water Level Impacts Stage 2 Complete Ex-Tropical Cyclone Oswald Simulation 0.4 m Sea Level Rise.....	8-43
Figure 8-35: Maximum Significant Wave Height Impacts Stage 2 Complete Ex-Tropical Cyclone Oswald Simulation 0.4 m Sea Level Rise.....	8-44
Figure 8-36: Water Level Impacts Stage 2 Complete Ex-Tropical Cyclone Oswald Hindcast Simulation 1.5 m Sea Level Rise.	8-45
Figure 8-37: Maximum Significant Wave Height Impacts Stage 2 Complete Ex-Tropical Cyclone Oswald Hindcast Simulation 1.5 m Sea Level Rise.....	8-46
Figure 8-38: Toondah Harbour Development Fison Channel Dredge Stage Scenarios.	8-47
Figure 8-39: Snapshot of Stage 1 Dredging Depth-Averaged Turbidity – Depicting Eastward Advection of Dredge Plume.	8-50
Figure 8-40: Snapshot of Stage 1 Dredging Depth-Averaged Turbidity – Depicting Northward Advection of Dredge Plume.	8-50
Figure 8-41: Snapshot of Stage 2 Dredging Depth-Averaged Turbidity – Depicting Eastward Advection of Dredge Plume.	8-51
Figure 8-42: Snapshot of Stage 2 Dredging Depth-Averaged Turbidity – Depicting Northward Advection of Dredge Plume.	8-51
Figure 8-43: 50 th Percentile of the Depth-Averaged Turbidity Dredging Campaign 1.	8-53
Figure 8-44: 95 th Percentile of the Depth-Averaged Turbidity Dredging Campaign 1.	8-54
Figure 8-45: 50 th Percentile of the Depth-Averaged Turbidity. Dredging Campaign 2.	8-55
Figure 8-46: 95 th Percentile of the Depth-Averaged Turbidity Dredging Campaign 2.	8-56
Figure 8-47: 50 th Percentile Deposition Rate Dredging Campaign 1.	8-58
Figure 8-48: 95 th Percentile Deposition Rate. Dredging Campaign 1.	8-59
Figure 8-49: 50 th Percentile Deposition Rate. Dredging Campaign 2.	8-60
Figure 8-50: 95 th Percentile Deposition Rate Dredging Campaign 2.	8-61
Figure 8-51: Modelled Ultimate Dredged Sediment Deposition Thickness. Dredging Campaign 1.....	8-62
Figure 8-52: Modelled Ultimate Dredged Sediment Deposition Thickness. Dredging Campaign 2.....	8-62
Figure 9-1: EPP Water and Wetlands Status and EHMP Water Quality Sites.	9-3
Figure 9-2: Water Quality Monitoring 2019 Maintenance Dredging Campaign.	9-7
Figure 9-3: Deployment of Turbidity Logger.....	9-8
Figure 9-4: Project Specific Turbidity Logging Locations.	9-9
Figure 9-5: Turbidity at Sites C1 and HEVa1284 (in HEV waters) and the 50th Percentile WQO.....	9-13
Figure 9-6: Turbidity at Sites in Area C2 (in Moderately Disturbed Marine Water) and the WQO.....	9-13
Figure 9-7: Turbidity at L6 from 11 July to 2 August 2019 during Maintenance Dredging.....	9-18
Figure 9-8: Turbidity at L6 from 21 July to 28 July 2019 during Maintenance Dredging.....	9-18
Figure 9-9: Turbidity and Tide Height at Site L5, 21 June 2018 to 29 June 2018.....	9-20
Figure 9-10: Rolling Two-week Average Turbidity at L1: 10 July 2015 to 11 November 2017.....	9-20
Figure 9-11: Rolling Two-week Average Turbidity at L2: 22 September 2015 to 1 September 2017.....	9-21
Figure 9-12: Rolling Two-week Average Turbidity at L3: 22 September 2015 to 25 July 2019.....	9-21
Figure 9-13: Rolling Two-week Average Turbidity at L5: 2 February 2018 to 28 August 2019.....	9-22
Figure 9-14: Rolling Two-week Average Turbidity at L6: 5 February to 20 August 2019.....	9-22
Figure 9-15: Snapshot of Stage 1 Dredging Depth-Averaged Turbidity – Depicting Eastern and Northern Advection of Dredge Plume.....	9-24

Figure 9-16: Dredge campaign 1: median increase in turbidity due to dredging.	9-24
Figure 9-17: Snapshot of Stage 2 Dredging Depth-Averaged Turbidity – Depicting Eastern and Northern Advection of Dredge Plume.	9-25
Figure 9-18: Dredge campaign 2: median increase in turbidity due to dredging.	9-25
Figure 9-19: Connections Between Internal Waterways and Moreton Bay.	9-27
Figure 9-20: Flushing Times for Neap Tide Conditions for Stage 1 Phase 3 (top), Stage 1 Complete (middle) and Stage 2 Complete (bottom), without (left) and with (right) Internal Culverts.	9-28
Figure 9-21: Toondah Harbour Conceptual Stormwater Management Plan.	9-30
Figure 10-1: Groundwater Monitoring Bore Locations.	10-4
Figure 10-2: Groundwater Conceptual Model Cross Section Locations.	10-7
Figure 10-3: Groundwater Conceptual Northwest – Southeast Hydrogeological Cross-section A-A.	10-8
Figure 10-4: Groundwater Conceptual Southwest – Northeast Hydrogeological Cross-section B-B.	10-9
Figure 10-5: Groundwater Level Contours in the Petrie Formation.	10-11
Figure 10-6: Petrie Formation Water Level Data.	10-12
Figure 10-7: Groundwater Conceptual Northwest – Southeast Hydrogeological Cross-section A-A with Halocline.	10-14
Figure 10-8: Groundwater Conceptual Southwest – Northeast Hydrogeological Cross-section B-B with Halocline. ...	10-15
Figure 10-9: Major Ion Composition of Groundwater in Hydrostratigraphic Units.	10-16
Figure 10-10: Registered Groundwater Bores Locations.	10-19
Figure 10-11: Groundwater Dependent Ecosystems Near Toondah Harbour.	10-21
Figure 10-12: Summary Conceptual Model - Southeast Hydrogeological Cross-section B – B’	10-23
Figure 10-13: Modelled prediction of groundwater levels – Temporary Sheet Piling Installed.	10-27
Figure 10-14: Modelled prediction of groundwater levels – Temporary Sheet Piling Removed.	10-28
Figure 11-1: Baseline Air Quality Sample Locations.	11-6
Figure 11-2: Air Quality Modelling Methodology Graphical Representation.	11-7
Figure 11-3: Modelled Air Quality Receptors.	11-10
Figure 11-4: Air Quality Background Monitoring Location AQ1 – March to October 2020.	11-12
Figure 11-5: Air Quality Background Monitoring Location AQ2 – March to October 2020.	11-13
Figure 11-6: Proposed Air Quality Monitoring Locations.	11-20
Figure 12-1: Attended Noise Monitoring Locations	12-6
Figure 12-2: Measured L_{Aeq}	12-11
Figure 12-3: Measured L_{A10}	12-12
Figure 12-4: Measured L_{A90} - Background Noise Level.	12-12
Figure 12-5: Representative components of ambient noise in Australian waters (Source: Cato 1997).	12-15
Figure 12-6: Noise from Perimeter Sheet Piling and Rock Revetment – Works on Northern Reclamation Eastern Perimeter	12-16
Figure 12-7: Noise from Perimeter Sheet Piling and Rock Revetment – Works on Southern Reclamation Eastern Perimeter	12-17
Figure 12-8: Noise from Internal Earthworks and Revetments – Northern Reclamation Earthworks and Marina.	12-18
Figure 12-9: Noise from Internal Earthworks and Revetments – Southern Reclamation Earthworks and Internal Channels.	12-19
Figure 12-10: Noise from Dredging and Reclamation Landforming – Stage 1 Dredging and Northern Reclamation..	12-20
Figure 12-11: Noise from Dredging and Reclamation Landforming – Stage 2 Dredging and Southern Reclamation.	12-21
Figure 12-12: Noise from Dredging Alone including Workboat and Unloading Barge.	12-22
Figure 12-13: Underwater Noise from Northern Reclamation Vibratory Piling (When in Water).	12-24
Figure 12-14: Underwater Noise from Southern Reclamation Vibratory Piling (When in Water).	12-24
Figure 12-15: Underwater Noise from Impact Piling in the Harbour Area.	12-25
Figure 13-1: Luminaire Locations.	13-4
Figure 13-2: Simplified Lighting Types and their Ability to Control Environmentally Obtrusive Light (AS4282:2019)..	13-5

Figure 13-3: Luminaire Design and their Ability to Control Environmentally Obtrusive Light (AS4282:2019).	13-5
Figure 13-4: Luminaire Design to Avoid Skyward Illumination and Control Environmentally Obtrusive Light (AS4282:2019).	13-6
Figure 13-5: Example Luminaire Selections.	13-7
Figure 13-6: Toondah harbour and Surrounds – Measurements in Lx on a Horizontal Plane.	13-8
Figure 13-7: Roads North of Toondah Harbour – Measurements in Lx on a Horizontal Plane.	13-9
Figure 13-8: Predicted Lighting Levels for The Entire Site (Lx).	13-12
Figure 13-9: Aerial Render View of the Toondah Harbour Project.	13-13
Figure 13-10: Lighting Render Viewpoints.	13-14
Figure 13-11: NE Location, 400 m Site 30° Field of View.	13-15
Figure 13-12: NE Location, 400 m from Site 15° Field of View.	13-15
Figure 13-13: NE Location, 400 m from Site 5° Field of View.	13-15
Figure 13-14: 100 m South of Cassim Island Site 30° Field of View.	13-16
Figure 13-15: 100 m South of Cassim Island Site 15° Field of View.	13-16
Figure 13-16: 100 m South of Cassim Island Site 5° Field of View (Showing Navigation Beacon).	13-16
Figure 13-17: Nandeebie Claypan (Excludes Screening Effect of Mangroves) Site 30° Field of View.	13-17
Figure 13-18: Nandeebie Claypan (Excludes Screening Effect of Mangroves) Site 15° Field of View.	13-17
Figure 13-19: Nandeebie Claypan (Excludes Screening Effect of Mangroves) Site 5° Field of View.	13-17
Figure 13-20: Marina Navigation Channel Entrance Site 30° Field of View.	13-18
Figure 13-21: Cassim Island Looking North 30° Field of View.	13-18
Figure 13-22: Cassim Island Looking Southwest 30° Field of View.	13-19
Figure 13-23: Oyster Point Looking North 30° Field of View.	13-19
Figure 13-24: Sandbank 1.5 km from Site Looking West 30° Field of View.	13-19
Figure 13-25: NE of site (150 m) Looking SW 30° Field of View.	13-20
Figure 15-1: Project footprint Terrestrial Environments.	15-5
Figure 15-2: Toondah Harbour Vegetation Communities and Koala Resources.	15-12
Figure 15-3: Wildlife Online Koala Records 2010-2018.	15-21
Figure 15-4: DES Koala Incident Reports for Cleveland January 2015 - June 2021.	15-22
Figure 15-5: Koala Data for the Project footprint and Surrounds.	15-25
Figure 15-6: Critical Koala Habitat.	15-29
Figure 15-7: Grey-headed Flying-fox Camp Locations within 25 km of the Project footprint.	15-32
Figure 15-8: Foraging Resources for Grey-headed Flying-fox within the PDA.	15-34
Figure 15-9: Water Mouse (<i>Xeromys myoides</i>) ALA Records.	15-36
Figure 15-10: Toondah Harbour Koala Resources Impact.	15-44
Figure 15-11: Koala Tree Supplementation.	15-46
Figure 15-12: Koala Underpass Concept Design.	15-48
Figure 15-13: Foraging Resources for Grey-headed Flying-fox within the Project Footprint.	15-55
Figure 15-14: Proposed Koala Safe Neighbourhood Area.	15-60
Figure 16-1: Sub tidal and Intertidal Sampling Locations within the MIA.	16-8
Figure 16-2: Benthic Infauna Survey Locations.	16-10
Figure 16-3: Marine and Aquatic Habitat Distribution within Moreton Bay (Source: EPA 2008).	16-13
Figure 16-4: Marine and Aquatic Habitat Distribution within the Project Footprint and MIA.	16-14
Figure 16-5: Seagrass Distribution in the Project Footprint and the MIA.	16-22
Figure 16-6: Marine Fauna Sightings in the Project Footprint and the MIA.	16-30
Figure 16-7: Marine Turtle Distribution in Moreton Bay (source: Sobtzick et al. 2017).	16-33
Figure 16-8: Marine Turtle Biologically Important Areas (National Conservation Values Atlas).	16-35
Figure 16-9: Dugong Distribution in Moreton Bay (source: Sobtzick et al. 2017).	16-39
Figure 16-10: Marine and Aquatic Habitat within the Project Footprint.	16-41

Figure 16-11: Draft Marine Habitat Monitoring Sites	16-64
Figure 17-1: Shorebird Survey Areas.....	17-9
Figure 17-2: Shorebird Low Tide Survey Areas – Southern-western Moreton Bay.....	17-10
Figure 17-3: Process for Identifying Important Habitat for Migratory Shorebirds (excluding Latham’s Snipe) under the EPBC Act (from Commonwealth of Australia 2015b).	17-11
Figure 17-4: Shorebird Feeding Habitat and Roost Sites, Toondah Harbour	17-20
Figure 17-5: Average Migratory Shorebird Summer Foraging Densities.	17-30
Figure 17-6: Project Development Footprint in Relation to Shorebird Feeding Habitat and Roost Sites, Toondah Harbour.	17-34
Figure 17-7: Schematic Illustrating the Operation of Density Dependence in Regulating Population Size after Habitat Loss.	17-43
Figure 18-1: Map of the Commercial Fishing Logbook Grids.....	18-3
Figure 19-1: Indigenous Cultural Heritage Survey Tracks Walked in the Study Area.	19-4
Figure 19-2: Toondah Harbour Indigenous Heritage Test Pit Locations.	19-6
Figure 19-3: The Archaeological Significance Continuum Applied in the Study.	19-7
Figure 19-4: State Heritage Register Listings in Proximity to Project footprint.	19-11
Figure 20-1: SIA Risk Assessment Methodology.	20-4
Figure 20-2: Social Infrastructure Map (Source: Urbis).....	20-9

Tables

Table 6-1: Scale of Impact.	6-5
Table 6-2: Duration/Irreversibility of Impact.....	6-5
Table 6-3: Impact Category.	6-5
Table 6-4: Likelihood of Impact and Risk.....	6-6
Table 7-1: Sediment Quality Parameters for Analysis and Practical Quantitation Limits (Excluding PASS).	7-5
Table 7-2: EMR / CLR Search Results.....	7-16
Table 7-3: Sediment and Contaminated Land Management Measures.	7-23
Table 7-4: Preliminary Soil and Groundwater Investigation Sampling Analytes.....	7-26
Table 7-4: Sediment, ASS and Contaminated Land Risk Assessment of Key Activities.....	7-28
Table 8-1: Dredging Model Input Summary (BHD).	8-48
Table 8-2: Coastal Processes Management Measures.....	8-63
Table 8-3: Coastal Processes Risk Assessment of Key Activities.	8-64
Table 9-1: State Planning Policy Stormwater Management Design Objectives.	9-2
Table 9-2: EHMP Sites and Relevant Water Area WQO.	9-6
Table 9-3: Median Water Quality Data and the 50th Percentile WQO for Sites within HEV Areas.....	9-14
Table 9-4: Median Water Quality Data Compared to the WQO for Sites within Moderately Disturbed Coastal Areas.	9-15
Table 9-5: State Planning Policy Operational Performance Criteria and Modelled Outcomes.....	9-29
Table 9-6: Increases in the Concentration of the 50 th Percentile of TN and TP in the Central Marina Compared to Background and WQOs.....	9-31
Table 9-7: Increases in the Concentration of the 80 th Percentile of TN and TP in the Central Marina Compared to Background and WQOs.....	9-31
Table 9-8: Surface Water Management Measures	9-34
Table 9-9: Indicative Triggers for Investigation within the Marina.	9-39
Table 9-10: Seagrass Light Thresholds for Species in the MIA.....	9-41
Table 9-11: Surface Water Quality Risk Assessment of Key Activities.	9-43
Table 10-1: Groundwater Monitoring Bore Details.	10-3

Table 10-2: Average Vertical Head Gradient across Nested Groundwater Monitoring Sites.....	10-12
Table 10-3: Dredging Impacts to Groundwater.....	10-24
Table 10-4: Reclamation Impacts to Groundwater.....	10-25
Table 10-5: Sheet Piling Containment Wall Impacts to Groundwater.....	10-29
Table 10-6: Dewatering Impacts to Groundwater.....	10-30
Table 10-7: Groundwater Management Measures.....	10-31
Table 10-8: Analysis Strategy for Project Groundwater Monitoring.....	10-33
Table 10-9: Groundwater Analysis Suite Description.....	10-34
Table 10-10: Groundwater Risk Assessment of Key Activities.....	10-35
Table 11-1: Summary of State and Federal Air Quality Criteria.....	11-2
Table 11-2: DES Ambient Air Monitoring Data.....	11-11
Table 11-3: Stage 1 Predicted Air Quality Concentrations – Cumulative.....	11-14
Table 11-4: Stage 2 Predicted Concentrations.....	11-15
Table 11-5: Air Quality Management Measures.....	11-17
Table 11-6: Air Quality Risk Assessment of Key Activities.....	11-22
Table 12-1: EPP (Noise) 2019 Acoustic Quality Objectives for Dwellings.....	12-2
Table 12-2: Noise Level Goals $L_{Aeq,adj,T}$ [dB(A)].....	12-3
Table 12-3: Proposed Vibration Limits - Human Comfort.....	12-4
Table 12-4: Attended Noise Measurements Dates and Times.....	12-5
Table 12-5: Typical Construction Plant Sound Power Levels (L_{Aeq}) in dB(A) and Numbers of Plant per Phase.....	12-9
Table 12-6: Sound Pressure Levels from Pile Driving.....	12-10
Table 12-7: Rating Background Noise Level [dB(A)].....	12-13
Table 12-8: Attended Noise Levels at Cassim Island.....	12-13
Table 12-9: Attended Noise Levels at Cassim Island.....	12-13
Table 12-10: Comparison of Predicted Noise Levels at Noise Sensitive Receptors.....	12-23
Table 12-11: Ambient and Underwater Noise Management Measures.....	12-27
Table 12-12: Ambient and Underwater Noise and Vibration Risk Assessment of Key Activities.....	12-30
Table 13-1: AS 4282 Lighting Design Goals.....	13-1
Table 13-2: Lighting Management Measures.....	13-21
Table 13-3: Lighting Risk Assessment of Key Activities.....	13-23
Table 14-1: National Waste Report Categories and Types.....	14-4
Table 14-2: Estimated Residential Precincts Waste Generation per Annum.....	14-6
Table 14-3: Waste Management Measures.....	14-8
Table 14-4: Waste Risk Assessment for Key Activities.....	14-12
Table 15-1: Koala Survey Details.....	15-7
Table 15-2: Terrestrial Vegetation Community Descriptions.....	15-9
Table 15-3: Threatened and Migratory Terrestrial Species Likelihood of Occurrence – Known and Likely Species.....	15-14
Table 15-4: Summary of Koala Incident Reports (DES database) for Cleveland January 2015-June 2021.....	15-20
Table 15-5: Primary and Secondary Koala Food Trees Recorded within the Project footprint.....	15-26
Table 15-6: Known Flying-fox Camps where Grey-headed Flying-fox has been Recorded within 25 km of the Project footprint.....	15-30
Table 15-7: Cleveland Population Growth and Dwelling Types.....	15-49
Table 15-8: Grey-Headed Flying-Fox Resources that Would be Cleared from within the Project Footprint.....	15-56
Table 15-9: Terrestrial Ecology Management Measures.....	15-61
Table 15-10: Terrestrial Ecology Risk Assessment of Key Activities.....	15-64
Table 16-1: Area of Habitat in Moreton Bay, the MIA and in the Project footprint.....	16-12
Table 16-2: Marine Threatened and Migratory MNES Likelihood of Occurrence.....	16-32

Table 16-3: Frequencies Produced and Received, and Impact Thresholds for MNES Listed Marine Mammals Potentially Occurring in the Study Area ¹ .	16-48
Table 16-4: Hearing Capabilities, and Impact Thresholds for MNES Listed Marine Turtles Potentially Occurring in the Study Area ¹ .	16-48
Table 16-5: Frequencies Produced and Received, and Impact Thresholds for Fish ¹ .	16-49
Table 16-6: Marine Ecology Management Measures.	16-58
Table 16-7: Marine Ecology Risk Assessment of Key Activities.	16-66
Table 17-1: Threatened and Migratory Shorebird Species Identified in the Protected Matters Search Tool (PM) and Wildlife Online (WO) Desktop Searches and Assessed as Known, Likely or to Have Potential to Occur in the Project footprint and Immediate Surrounds, their Status under the EPBC Act (EPBC) and NC Act (NC), and their Preferred Habitat Requirements.	17-15
Table 17-2: Average (and Maximum) Counts of Migratory Shorebird Species Roosting at Cassim Island.	17-19
Table 17-3: Average (and Maximum) Counts of Shorebird Species (when Present) Roosting at Nandeebie Claypan.	17-22
Table 17-4: Average (and maximum) Counts of Shorebird Species (when Present) Roosting at Oyster Point.	17-24
Table 17-5: Average (and maximum) Counts of Shorebird Species (when present) Roosting on the Offshore sandbank.	17-26
Table 17-6: Summary of the Average (and Maximum) Number of Shorebirds Recorded Feeding at Toondah Harbour Each Summer Season.	17-28
Table 17-7: Assessment of the Importance of Migratory Shorebird Habitats in their Own Right.	17-29
Table 17-8: Flight Initiation Distance (FID) of a Variety of Migratory Shorebird Species in Response to Disturbance Agents.	17-37
Table 17-9: Comparison of Migratory Shorebirds Feeding at Low Tide between 1990 (Thompson 1990) and 2018-2020 (this study).	17-44
Table 17-10: Migratory and Threatened Shorebirds Management Measures.	17-49
Table 17-11: Migratory and Threatened Shorebirds Risk Assessment of Key Activities.	17-52
Table 18-1: Likely Presence at Various Life History Stages in the Habitats at Toondah Harbour.	18-12
Table 18-2: Summary of Commercial Fishing Catch and Effort in Logbook Grids W37 (northern Moreton Bay) and W38 (southern Moreton Bay) between 2010 and 2018.	18-14
Table 18-3: Impacts and Mitigation Summary.	18-17
Table 18-4: Summary of risk for recreational and commercial fishing access, and fisheries habitat.	18-21
Table 19-1: State Heritage Listed Places in Proximity to the Project footprint.	19-10
Table 19-2: Historical Themes for the Cleveland Area.	19-14
Table 19-3: State Heritage Listings Criteria in Proximity to Project footprint.	19-18
Table 20-1: Social Infrastructure Review.	20-7
Table 20-2: Impact Scoping.	20-12
Table 21-1: Summary of Economic Benefits under the Project Case.	21-2
Table 21-2: Summary of Economic Costs under the Project Case.	21-4
Table 21-3: Results from Cost-Benefit Analysis (\$'000 2020 \$s).	21-5
Table 21-4: Construction period – Queensland Economic Impacts.	21-6
Table 21-5: Operational Period – Minjerribah Economic Impacts.	21-7
Table 21-6: Operating Period – Redland LGA Economic Impacts.	21-8
Table 22-1: ESD Summary and Cross-reference for EIS Aspects.	22-3

Plates

Plate 9-1: The 'Faucon' During Maintenance Dredging on an Incoming Tide at Toondah Harbour.	9-17
Plate 13-1: Toondah Harbour from Fison Channel South of Cassim Island.	13-10

Plate 13-2: Toondah Harbour from Fison Channel South of Cassim Island with Comments	13-10
Plate 15-1: Koalas home ranges around the Toondah Harbour precinct (source: de Villiers et. al. (2019)).....	15-18
Plate 15-2: Koala food trees in the Trade College grounds.....	15-24
Plate 15-3: Koala food trees in GJ Walter Park.....	15-24
Plate 15-4: Carpark plantings.....	15-24
Plate 15-5: Condition of Casuarina glauca stand.	15-24
Plate 15-6: Section of Middle Street between the trade college grounds and GJ Walter Park.....	15-27
Plate 15-7: Typical interface between marine and terrestrial habitats.....	15-37
Plate 16-1: Mudflats within the Project Footprint.	16-16
Plate 16-2: Avicennia marina Closed Open Shrubland with Sparse Rhizophora stylosa.....	16-17
Plate 16-3: Seagrass community dominated by Zostera muelleri (left) in the reclamation area and Halophila spinulosa (right) in the Fison channel.....	16-23
Plate 17-1: Mangrove Tree Roost at Cassim Island.....	17-21
Plate 17-2: Whimbrel Roosting in Mangrove Tree.....	17-21
Plate 17-3: Saltmarsh Roost Site at Nandeebie Claypan.	17-22
Plate 17-4: Public Footpath and Cycleway on the Western Boundary of the Nandeebie Claypan Roost.	17-22
Plate 17-5: View over the Oyster Point roost showing proximity to public recreational park infrastructure.	17-24
Plate 17-6: Roosting shorebirds pushed towards the edge of the park at Oyster Point by rising spring tide.....	17-24
Plate 17-7: Flock of Eastern Curlew Roosting at Oyster Point Roost.	17-25
Plate 17-8: Roosting Eastern Curlew flushed by person approaching to the edge of the shoreline at Oyster Point, 70m from the birds.	17-25
Plate 17-9: Bar-tailed Godwit.	17-27
Plate 17-10: Eastern Curlew.....	17-27
Plate 19-1: Front View of Grand View Hotel, from North Street.	19-15
Plate 19-2: Front View of Fernleigh Homestead, from Shore Street E.	19-16
Plate 19-3: View of St Paul's Church from Cross Street.....	19-16
Plate 19-4: South View of Park.....	19-17
Plate 19-5: Moreton Bay Fig Tree.	19-17

Appendices

Appendix 2-A

Sediment Sampling and Analysis Technical Report

Appendix 2-B

Sediment Sampling and Analysis Report – Contaminated Land Comparison

Appendix 2-C

Toondah Harbour Contaminated Land Preliminary Site Investigation

Appendix 2-D

Toondah Harbour Historical Contaminated Land Reports

Appendix 2-E

Coastal Processes, Stormwater and Dredge Plume Modelling

Appendix 2-F

Coastal Processes Peer Review Summary

Appendix 2-G

Water Quality Technical Report

Appendix 2-H

Groundwater Technical Report

Appendix 2-I

Air Quality Technical Report

Appendix 2-J

Terrestrial and Underwater Noise and Vibration Technical Report

Appendix 2-K

Lighting Technical Report

Appendix 2-L

Terrestrial Ecology Technical Report

Appendix 2-M

Marine Ecology Technical Report

Appendix 2-N

Migratory and Threatened Shorebirds Technical Report

Appendix 2-O

Commercial and Recreational Fisheries Marine Zoning Data

Commercial Fishing Catch and Effort Data

Appendix 2-P

Non-Indigenous Cultural Heritage Technical Report

Appendix 2-Q

Social Matters Technical Report

Appendix 2-R

Economic Matters Technical Report

Volume 3: MNES Significant Impact Assessment

Table of Contents

23. Summary of Direct and Indirect Impact Assessment	23-1
23.1. MNES Significant Impact Assessment Process	23-1
23.2. Summary of Key Impacts to Environmental Values	23-2
23.2.1 Overview of Potential Impacts on the Marine Environment	23-2
23.2.2 Overview of Potential Impacts on the Terrestrial Environment	23-4
23.2.3 Overview of Potential Impacts on Threatened and Migratory Shorebirds	23-5
23.2.4 Summary of Direct and Indirect Impacts from the Toondah Harbour Project	23-5
24. Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-1
24.1. Introduction	24-1
24.2. Threatened Terrestrial Species	24-2
24.2.1 Koala Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-2
24.2.2 Grey-Headed Flying-fox Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-6
24.2.3 Water Mouse Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-9
24.2.4 White-throated Needletail Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-12
24.3. Threatened Shorebird Species	24-13
24.3.1 Eastern Curlew Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-13
24.3.2 Great Knot Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-16
24.3.3 Curlew Sandpiper Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-19
24.3.4 Red Knot Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-21
24.3.5 Lesser Sand Plover Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-24
24.3.6 Bar-tailed Godwit Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-27
24.3.7 Greater Sand Plover Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-30
24.4. Threatened Marine Species	24-32
24.4.1 Southern Right Whale Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-32
24.4.2 Loggerhead Turtle Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-34
24.4.3 White's Seahorse Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-36
24.4.4 Green Turtle Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-39
24.4.5 Hawksbill Turtle Threatened Species Significant Impact Assessment	24-41
24.5. Summary of Significant Residual Impacts to Threatened Species	24-44
25. Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-1
25.1. Introduction	25-1
25.2. Terrestrial Migratory (Non-Shorebird) Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-2
25.2.1 Eastern Osprey Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-2
25.2.2 Gull-billed Tern Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-3

25.2.3	Caspian Tern Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-4
25.2.4	Little Tern Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-5
25.2.5	Crested Tern Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-7
25.2.6	White-winged Black Tern Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-8
25.2.7	Common Tern Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-9
25.2.8	Oriental Cuckoo Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-10
25.2.9	Rufous Fantail Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-12
25.3.	Migratory (Non-threatened) Shorebirds Significant Impact Assessment	25-13
25.3.1	Grey-tailed Tattler Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-13
25.3.2	Whimbrel Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-15
25.3.3	Terek Sandpiper Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-16
25.3.4	Pacific Golden Plover Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-18
25.3.5	Red-necked Stint Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-19
25.3.6	Common Greenshank Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-20
25.3.7	Ruddy Turnstone Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-22
25.3.8	Grey Plover Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-23
25.3.9	Sharp-tailed Sandpiper Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-24
25.3.10	Double-banded Plover Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-25
25.3.11	Black-tailed Godwit Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-26
25.3.12	Little Curlew Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-27
25.4.	Marine Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-28
25.4.1	Humpback Whale Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-28
25.4.2	Australian Humpback Dolphin Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-30
25.4.3	Dugong Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment	25-31
25.5.	Summary of Significant Residual Impacts to Migratory Species	25-32
26.	Cumulative and Consequential Impacts	26-1
26.1.	Definition and Scope	26-1
26.2.	Cumulative and Consequential Impact Assessment Method	26-2
26.2.1	Key Issues to be Addressed by the CIA	26-2
26.2.2	Identifying Environmental Values	26-2
26.2.3	Definition of Spatial and Temporal Boundaries	26-3
26.2.4	Identifying Types and Sources of Cumulative and Consequential Impacts	26-3
26.2.5	Risk and Significant Impact Assessment Process	26-4
26.3.	Cumulative and Consequential Impact Assessment	26-5
26.3.1	Key Issues to be Addressed	26-5
26.3.2	Environmental Values that may be Impacted	26-11
26.3.3	Spatial and Temporal Boundaries for the CIA	26-16
26.3.4	Actions that may Result in Cumulative and Consequential Impacts	26-16
26.3.5	Cumulative Impact Assessment	26-23
26.4.	Other Consequential Impacts	26-37
26.4.1	Increased Boat and Recreational Vessel Usage	26-37
26.4.2	Climate Change	26-38
26.5.	Summary	26-40
27.	Moreton Bay Ramsar Site Impact Assessment	27-1

27.1.	Definition and Scope	27-1
27.1.1	Ramsar Convention on Wetlands	27-2
27.1.2	Assessment Requirements	27-3
27.2.	The Moreton Bay Ramsar Site	27-4
27.3.	Change to Ecological Character Assessment Method	27-7
27.3.1	Reliability of Information	27-8
27.3.2	Critical Components, Processes and Services of the MBRS	27-8
27.3.3	Identifying Critical Components, Processes and Services at the Project Site Level	27-9
27.3.4	Assessment of Significance of Potential Impacts	27-12
27.4.	MBRS and Site Level Ecological Character	27-14
27.4.1	Critical Components, Processes and Services of the MBRS	27-14
27.4.2	Critical Components, Processes and Services Represented at the Project Site	27-17
27.5.	Assessment of Potential Impacts to the MBRS	27-25
27.5.1	Impacts to Critical Physical and Biogeochemical Processes	27-27
27.5.2	Impacts to Critical Components	27-29
27.5.3	Impacts to Critical Biological Processes and Services	27-32
27.5.4	Summary of Potential Impacts	27-35
27.6.	Potential for Change in Ecological Character or Significant Impact to the Ramsar MNES	27-37
28.	Environmental Management Framework	28-1
28.1.	Introduction	28-1
28.1.1	Purpose of the Environmental Management Framework	28-1
10.1.1	Objectives	28-1
10.1.2	Application	28-1
10.1.3	Relevance to the EPBC Act	28-2
28.1.2	Other Relevant Legislation	28-3
28.1.3	Proponent's Environmental Record	28-5
28.2.	Draft EM Framework Structure	28-5
28.2.1	Draft EM Framework Procedures	28-7
28.2.2	MNES Management Plans	28-7
28.2.3	Activity Management Plans	28-8
28.2.4	Operational Handover Procedure	28-9
28.2.5	Review and Technical Advisory Panel	28-10
28.3.	Outline of Draft EM Framework Procedures	28-10
28.3.1	Working Hours	28-10
28.3.2	Roles and Responsibilities	28-11
28.3.3	Complaints Procedure	28-13
28.3.4	Incident and Emergency Procedures	28-14
28.3.5	Spill Response Procedure	28-15
28.3.6	EM Compliance and Auditing Procedure	28-17
28.3.7	Reporting Procedure	28-18
28.3.8	Adaptive Management	28-19
28.4.	Draft MNES Management Plans	28-19
29.	Environmental Offsets Strategy	29-1

29.1.	EPBC Act Environmental Offsets	29-1
29.1.1	EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy Requirements	29-2
29.2.	Summary of Residual Significant Impacts	29-3
29.3.	Offset Delivery Framework	29-7
29.3.1	Offset Delivery Approach	29-7
29.3.2	Offset Delivery Method	29-8
29.3.3	OFM Framework	29-9
29.4.	Potential Toondah Harbour Offset Projects	29-12
29.4.1	Approach for Threatened and Migratory Shorebird Offset Projects	29-12
29.4.2	Approach for Marine Wetland Habitat in Moreton Bay	29-14
29.5.	Offset Suitability	29-15
30.	Conclusion	30-1
30.1.	Background and Description	30-1
30.2.	Potential Impacts	30-3
30.2.1	Marine Impacts	30-3
30.2.2	Terrestrial Impacts	30-3
30.2.3	Migratory Shorebirds	30-4
30.3.	Significant Impacts to MNES	30-5
30.3.1	Listed Threatened Species	30-5
30.3.2	Listed Migratory Species	30-5
30.3.3	Moreton Bay Ramsar Site	30-6
30.4.	Environmental Offsets Strategy	30-7
30.5.	EPBC Act Objectives	30-8

Figures

Figure 23-1a: Conceptual Model of Environmental Values at Toondah Harbour (Existing)	23-6
Figure 26-1: Decreasing Certainty of Project Forecasting (taken from Kaveney, Kerswell and Buick 2015).	26-4
Figure 26-2: CIA Spatial Boundary and Actions that may Contribute to Cumulative Impacts.....	26-21
Figure 26-3: Toondah Harbour Mudflat Extent (MLWS) under Predicted Sea Level Rise.....	26-39
Figure 27-1: The Moreton Bay Ramsar Site.....	27-5
Figure 27-2: Toondah Harbour MBRS Zone of Influence.	27-10
Figure 27-3: Toondah Harbour Conceptual Ecosystem Interactions.	27-22
Figure 27-4: Toondah Harbour Zone of Influence Conceptual Ecosystem Interactions.	27-23
Figure 27-5: Marine Wetland Habitats Within the MBRS and Project Footprint.....	27-24
Figure 27-6: Conceptual Flowchart of Impact Pathways to MBRS Components, Processes and Services.	27-26
Figure 27-7: Toondah Harbour Project Conceptual Ecosystem Interactions Impacts.....	27-36
Figure 28-1: Draft Environmental Framework Conceptual Diagram.	28-6
Figure 28-2: Construction Roles and Responsibilities.....	28-12
Figure 28-3: Complaints Procedure.....	28-14
Figure 28-4: Adaptive Management Process (source: CSIRO).....	28-19
Figure 29-1: Toondah Harbour Project MNES Significant Residual Impacts.	29-6
Figure 29-2: Toondah Harbour Offset Fund General Steps and Operation.....	29-11

Tables

Table 24-1: Assessment of Koala Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	24-4
Table 24-2: Assessment of Grey-headed Flying-fox Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-7
Table 24-3: Assessment of Water Mouse Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-11
Table 24-4: Assessment of White-throated Needletail Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-12
Table 24-5: Assessment of Eastern Curlew Against the Critically Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-15
Table 24-6: Assessment of Great Knot Against the Critically Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-18
Table 24-7: Assessment of Curlew Sandpiper Against the Critically Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-20
Table 24-8: Assessment of Red Knot Against the Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	24-23
Table 24-9: Assessment of Lesser Sand Plover Against the Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-25
Table 24-10: Assessment of Bar-tailed Godwit Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-28
Table 24-11: Assessment of Greater Sand Plover Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-31
Table 24-12: Assessment of Southern Right Whale Against the Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-34
Table 24-13: Assessment of Loggerhead Turtle Against the Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-35
Table 24-14: Assessment of White's Seahorse Against the Endangered Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-38
Table 24-15: Assessment of Green Turtle Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-40
Table 24-16: Assessment of Hawksbill Turtle Against the Vulnerable Threatened Species Significant Impact Criteria.	24-42
Table 25-1: Assessment of the Eastern Osprey Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-2
Table 25-2: Assessment of the Gull Billed Tern Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-4
Table 25-3: Assessment of the Caspian Tern Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-5
Table 25-4: Assessment of the Little Tern Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-6
Table 25-5: Assessment of the Crested Tern Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-8
Table 25-6: Assessment of the White-winged Black Tern Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-9
Table 25-7: Assessment of the Common Tern Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-10
Table 25-8: Assessment of the Oriental Cuckoo Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-11
Table 25-9: Assessment of the Rufous Fantail Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-12
Table 25-10: Assessment of the Grey-tailed Tattler Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-14
Table 25-11: Assessment of the Whimbrel Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-16
Table 25-12: Assessment of the Terek Sandpiper Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-17
Table 25-13: Assessment of the Pacific Golden Plover Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-19
Table 25-14: Assessment of the Red-necked Stint Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-20
Table 25-15: Assessment of the Common Greenshank Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-21
Table 25-16: Assessment of the Ruddy Turnstone Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-22

Table 25-17: Assessment of the Grey Plover Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-24
Table 25-18: Assessment of the Sharp-tailed Sandpiper Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-25
Table 25-19: Assessment of the Double-banded Plover Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-26
Table 25-20: Assessment of the Black-tailed Godwit Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-27
Table 25-21: Assessment of the Little Curlew Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-28
Table 25-22: Assessment of the Humpback Whale Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.	25-29
Table 25-23: Assessment of the Humpback Dolphin Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-30
Table 25-24: Assessment of the Dugong Against the Migratory Species Significant Impact Criteria.....	25-31
Table 26-1: Scoring of Residual Risk.....	26-5
Table 26-2: Key Issues to be Addressed by the CIA.....	26-6
Table 26-3: Environmental Values Considered in the CIA.	26-12
Table 26-4: Actions with Potential for Cumulative and Consequential Impacts.	26-17
Table 26-5: Potential Sources for Impact Utilised for the CIA.	26-22
Table 26-6: Water Quality Cumulative Risk Scores from Other Projects.	26-26
Table 26-7: Koala Cumulative Risk Scores from Other Projects.....	26-28
Table 26-8: Koala Cumulative Significant Impact Assessment.	26-28
Table 26-9: Migratory and Threatened Shorebirds Cumulative Risk Scores from Other Projects.	26-32
Table 26-10: Threatened and Migratory Shorebird Species Cumulative Significant Impact Assessment.....	26-32
Table 26-11: Marine Threatened Species and Habitats Cumulative Risk Scores from Other Projects.	26-36
Table 27-1: Summary of Moreton Bay Characteristics against Ramsar Listing Criteria.....	27-6
Table 27-2: Categories of Wetland Components, Processes and Services.....	27-9
Table 27-3: Potential for Impacts on the Ecological Character of the MBRS.....	27-13
Table 27-4: MBRS Critical Services Identified by the Draft ECD.....	27-14
Table 27-5: Local Representation of MBRS Critical Services.	27-18
Table 27-6: Critical Services of the MBRS Present in the Project Footprint and Zone of Influence.....	27-25
Table 27-7: Potential for Project Impacts to Result in a Change in Ecological Character of the MBRS or Significant Impact on MNES.....	27-39
Table 28-1: Activity MPs and Endorsement Agencies.....	28-8
Table 28-2: Activity Management Plan Implementation During Construction Periods.....	28-8
Table 29-1: Summary of Significant Residual Impacts to MNES from the Toondah Harbour Project.	29-4
Table 29-2: EPBC Act Environmental Offsets Policy Requirements, OFM Project Draft Criteria and Application to Toondah Harbour.	29-16

Appendices

Appendix 3-A

Migratory Species Significant Impact Assessment Tables

Appendix 3-B

Moreton Bar Ramsar Site Assessment

Appendix 3-C

Draft MNES MP Sub-plans

Appendix 3-D

Offset Calculator Outputs